

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 622 of 1985

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES  
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
MUGATLAL ANANDRAI VAIDYA

Versus

BHAISHANKER DAYASSHANKER JOSHI

-----  
Appearance:

MR MD PANDYA for Petitioner

MR DU SHAH for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 21/07/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. D.U. Shah, learned counsel for the respondents-landlords states that the petitioner-tenant has expired many years ago and the heirs of the original tenant have handed over possession to the respondents-landlords. Therefore this revision no longer survives and is accordingly disposed of. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*ar\*